



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMNISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/560,067	04/27/00	MATTSON		B	MAT-P-99-002
Г				EXAMINER		
	PATENTS+TM: A PROFESSI	'ATENTS+TMS 'APROFESSIONAL CORPORATION			O CONNOR G ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	THIRD FLOOR 1914 N MILWAUKEE AVENUE CHICAGO IL 60647				2167 DATE MAILED:	(6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

10/30/01

Application No.

09/560,067

Applicant(s)

Mattson

Office Action Summary

Examiner

O'Connor

Art Unit 2167

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SH	for Reply IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TTO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM					
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed					
af - If the	fter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days						
- If NC		period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this					
- Failu - Any		y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
Status 1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>August 2</u>	7, 2001 (Amendment "A")					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	ition of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
4		is/are withdrawn from consideratio					
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>9-14 and 21-29</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement					
Applica	ation Papers						
9) 🗆	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed on April 27, 2000 is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.					
11)	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a்☐ approved bi☐ disapproved.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav						
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 						
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic						
Attachm	ent(s)						
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
16) 🔲 N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
17) 🔲 In	7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:						

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Remarks

- 1. This Office action has been prepared in response to the amendment and arguments filed by applicant on August 27, 2001 (Paper Nº 8), in response to the prior Office action.
- 2. The amendment of claims 9-14 and addition of claims 21-29 are hereby acknowledged.

Election/Restriction

3. Claims 1-8 and 15-20 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper N^{0} 6.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the receiving and storing of the information, the website, the posting of information on the website, and accessing the information via a computer, as well as the computer, the destination remote from the restaurant, the wireless device, the cellular telephone, and the personal digital assistant, must all be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 2167

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 9-14 and 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by CyberDiner Internet Cafe Systems, the posting of restaurant reviews at appropriate websites by patrons of the restaurant being an inherent use of the Internet, as demonstrated by the Blue Ginger webpage at the Boston Globe website.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed August 27, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Regarding the argument that the drawings need not show every feature of the invention specified in the claims, the drawings must indeed show every feature of the invention specified in the claims, as explicitly required by 37 CFR 1.83(a).
- 9. Regarding applicant's argument that the claims are not anticipated by the *CyberDiner*Internet Café Systems reference because that single reference does not explicitly disclose each

Art Unit: 2167

and every element of applicant's claimed invention, the rejection that was made (and has now been made final) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) addressed the fact that certain elements of the claims were not explicitly disclosed by *CyberDiner*, by explaining that those elements were inherent in *CyberDiner*, hence necessarily present.

"To serve as an anticipation when the reference is silent about the asserted inherent characteristic, such gap in the reference may be filled with recourse to extrinsic evidence. Such evidence must make clear that the missing descriptive matter is necessarily present in the thing described in the reference, and that it would be so recognized by persons of ordinary skill."

Continental Can Co. USA v. Monsanto Co., 948 F.2d 1264, 1268, 20 USPQ2d 1746, 1749

(Fed. Cir. 1991).

CyberDiner discloses a restaurant that provides Internet access to each of its patrons at each of the tables when patrons are served. The access provided by CyberDiner is general Internet access to all websites of the Internet. Connection to--hence, ability to use--all such websites is therefore inherently present in the restaurant system of CyberDiner, including connection to and use of such restaurant review websites as the Blue Ginger review at the Boston Globe website, boston.com. See MPEP § 2131.01(III)

Art Unit: 2167

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the disclosure.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of

the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication, or earlier communications, should be

directed to the examiner, Jerry O'Connor, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1525.

GJOC

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600- 2000

October 26, 2001